

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH JUNE 2007 / 30TH JYAISHTA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 2434 of 2007()

CRA.81/2000 of ADDL. SESSIONS COURT-II, MAVELIKKARA
CC.18/1995 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS, KAYAMKULAM
.....

REVN. PETITIONER: APPELLANT/ACCUSED

S.ASOK KUMAR,
BINDU VILASOM, MATHOORKARA, MATHOOR VILLAGE,
VYKKOM TALUK, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.S.JAYAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT - RESPONDENT

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR K.S.SIVAKUMAR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 20/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Crl. R.P. No.2434/2007

Dated, this the 20th day of June, 2007

ORDER

In this Revision filed under Section 397 read with Sec. 401 Cr.P.C. the petitioner challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed by the courts below for offences punishable under Sections 279 and 304A IPC.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the Revision Petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. Even though the learned counsel appearing for the revision petitioner assailed the judgments, the findings recorded by the courts below are on the basis of appreciation of the oral and documentary evidence. Both the courts have believed the prosecution witnesses and sitting in the rarefied revisional jurisdiction, this Court will be loathe in interfering with the concurrent findings. I do not find any error, illegality or impropriety in the findings recorded by the courts below.

This Revision, which is devoid of any merit, is accordingly dismissed, confirming the conviction entered and the sentence passed against the revision petitioner.

V.RAMKUMAR, JUDGE

mrCS

